M E M O R A N D U M

TO: J. Lee Rankin DATE: September 25, 1964

FROM: Burt Griffin
Wesley J. Liebeler

On pages 305-308 of the Report, the Commission mentions the claim that Oswald had made a previous trip to Mexico City in early September, to receive money and orders for the assassination (footnote 567). This allegation is later detailed as the statement of "D", a page and a quarter discussion which is supported by footnote 578. Footnote 578 states "to protect 'D'" portions of this case have been withheld. In that page and one quarter discussion, there are numerous statements which could well be footnoted. For example, that "D" was given a polygraph test, that the Embassy extension by "D" would not get many individuals he knew, that no one at the Embassy remembered his telephoning and that on September 17 Oswald visited the Louisiana State Unemployment Commission. In light of the impartial character of the allegations made by "D", suggest that at the moment the contradicting information be cited at footnote 578. In addition, I suggest that we obtain from the CIA or whatever government agency that "D" not be disclosed, permission to report the pertinent information provided by "D" without identifying "D".

The allegations of "D" are rejected because "D" allegedly retracted his statements "when he heard the results of the polygraph examination." However, the test indicates that "D" said he retracted his statement because he had been pressured into that retraction by the Mexican police. Apparently the refusal to accept his retraction is based upon the polygraph examination. This seems contradictory to our policy on not excepting polygraph results, that it would seem that this presents an obvious contradiction in the text itself because "D" claims pressure was the basis for the retraction where as the author says that learning the results of the polygraph examination were the basis for the statement. I would suggest that sufficient documentation be indicated to establish that the retraction occurred after the polygraph results were shown to "D" and, as he claims because of Mexican pressure. We have assigned Commission Exhibit No. 3152 to this exported documentation.

Your continued work in this Commission is greatly appreciated.